From: To:

Local Plan

Cc: Subject:

Comments on South Norfolk Village Clusters

Date: 02 August 2021 16:44:20

I would like to submit the following comments on the emerging Local Plan in regards to Tivetshall St Mary and Tivetshall St Margaret.

Question 143 – I object to the changes proposed to the settlement limit to incorporate SN0319 because it is not in keeping with the linear form of housing within the villages.

Question 144 – I object to the preferred site SN0319. The number of proposed dwellings is not in keeping with the rural nature of the villages, other newer developments have been much smaller and followed the linear style of building. A development of 25 dwellings would nearly double the number of properties along The Street and the number of cars would significantly increase, making it less safe for pedestrians walking along relatively narrow roads with no footpaths. A development of this size would impact on the bungalows immediately adjacent to the proposed site.

It is well known in the village that properties in The Street suffer from low water pressure, with noticeable dips in pressure each time a property has been built. This would need to be addressed.

Question 145 – I support site SN3002SL. This site is a natural continuation of existing development along the western side of The Street and mirrors development on the opposite side of the road.

Question 146 – My view is that shortlisted sites SN0318 and SN2103 should be allocated instead of site SN0319. These two sites would still meet the allocation for the parish of 25 dwellings, whilst being smaller developments. Having two sites in different parts of the village would result in the extra traffic using varying routes in and out of the village.

The shortlisted site of SN0318 for up to 10 dwellings is more in keeping with the size of previous developments and whilst it does not follow the existing linear pattern of development it would have far less impact on adjacent properties and the volume of traffic.

The shortlisted site SN2103 is in close proximity to the school and would allow easy pedestrian access to the school along paved roads.

I agree with the rejected sites.

I trust that you will take these views into consideration as a consultee comment.

Yours sincerely

Sent from Mail for Windows 10